

Notes for Contributors

<http://www.tlg.uci.edu/~opoudjis/Work/dhumbcontrib.html>

POLICY. *History of Language* is concerned with the history, origin and diversification of language; relevant contributions will be considered. We also encourage works of linguistic historiography, especially in relation to historical linguistics. Book reviews are also invited. Potential reviewers should contact the review editors, Paul Sidwell or Neile Kirk (via the central AHL address), to discuss obtaining books for review; alternatively, reviewers are encouraged to approach publishers directly to obtain titles for review in *History of Language*.

SUBMISSION. Contributions by mail should be sent to the postal address listed inside the front cover of this issue. We prefer submissions on a Macintosh-formatted diskette, in Microsoft Word 5; for that, contributors should ensure their submissions are in a form readily accessible to the editors—rtf-encoded (on either a Macintosh or a PC diskette), or in a widely available word processing package. At least one hard copy should be included. If submission is by hard copy only, three double-spaced typed copies of the manuscript should be sent.

OFFPRINTS. Offprints will be sent to authors on request.

STYLE GUIDE. Fonts will be: Times for normal text, Times Diacrit for standard diacritics, Doulos IPA93 for IPA transcriptions, Kadmos or Ismini for Greek, and Constantin for Cyrillic. For non-IPA transcriptions, or other languages not using the Latin alphabet, the editor should be consulted.

Phonetic or phonological and reconstructed examples cited in text will be in bold; others will be in italics. Phonetic or phonological transcriptions enclosed in square brackets or obliques will be in text. For example:

“Thus, the PK form comes from a source something like *[xwištu] and hence the best PK reconstruction is *xus_t-, and not **xut-.”

“Die comes from Old Norse *deyja*”

Do not indent paragraphs—particularly not with tabs. Do not separate paragraphs with additional carriage returns. In examples with morpheme-by-morpheme glosses, morphemes will be separated by stops, rather than by means of the space bar.

The Harvard system of referencing should be employed; that is, in-text referencing with a full alphabetical listing of works cited at the end of the manuscript, not in footnotes; e.g.:

‘As pointed out by Stalin (1951:303)...’

‘Commenting on the state of general incompetence among American linguists, Anttila (1992:36) remarks...’

which should appear in the references as:

Stalin, I. V. 1951. *Sočinenia* (Tom 1). Gosudarstvennoe izdatel'stvo političeskoy literatury, Moscow.

Anttila, R. 1992. Historical explanation in Historical Linguistics. In Davis, W. & G. Iverson (eds), *Explanation in Historical Linguistics* (Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 84), 17–40. John Benjamins, Amsterdam.

Note that the title of the book or journal should be italicised. For journal articles the page number should also appear. If the reference did not originally appear in Latin script, it may be reproduced in the original, or in transcription. Transcriptions should follow internationally accepted norms.

A Thematic Survey of Burushaski Research*

Elena Bashir,
The University of Michigan, USA

Burushaski is spoken in northern Pakistan in the Hunza, Nager, and Yasin valleys by fewer than 100,000 people in two major dialects: the Hunza and Yasin varieties, with the speech of Nager constituting a subdialect of Hunza Burushaski. These forms will be referred to as HB, YB (=Werchikwar/Warshikwar), and NB henceforth. The study of Burushaski has made enormous strides since the early accounts published in 1854 and 1871 by the British explorers Cunningham (1854) and Hayward (1871), and Burushaski can no longer be considered an understudied language. The early stages of research on the language are summarized chronologically in Grierson (1919) and Zarubin (1927), while work until 1970 is summarized in Klimov and Edel'man (1970). Tiffou (1998) discusses more recent work including ethnographical studies. The present article identifies major themes in Burushaski research and suggests directions for future research, along with providing a comprehensive bibliography.

1. Genetic affiliation. Although Burushaski is still classed as a language isolate, work on establishing its genetic affiliation is proceeding vigorously. Attempts to link it with other languages date to the earliest stages of Burushaski studies. They include comparisons with Northwest and Northeast Caucasian, early contributions to which are Bleichsteiner (1930), critically discussed in Morgenstierne (1935), Bouda (1950a,b; 1954, 1964); and the Yeneseian languages, especially Ket (Toporov 1971). Starostin (1984) argued for the establishment of a macrophylum linking Sino-Tibetan, Yeneseian, and Caucasian languages. Starostin (1991) is an easily accessible English translation of his original 1984 paper. More recently, a Macro-Caucasian phylum, grouping Burushic, Caucasic and Basque under the proposed Dene-Caucasian (=Sino-Caucasian) macro-phylum, has been proposed and developed, notably in the work of Bengtson and Blažek (Bengtson 1991, 1992a,b; 1997; 1997 ms; Blažek & Bengtson 1995). The work of other Russian scholars, e.g. Peiros (1988 ms), and the publication of Starostin's proto-North Caucasian reconstructions (1994) has provided continued impetus to this line of research. Tuite (1998:467) argues for “quasi-genetic resemblances” between Burushaski and the Northeast Caucasian languages.

Most recently, a new proposal, linking Burushaski with Indo-European, especially the pre-Balkanic languages, has been advanced by Čašule (1998), taking his original inspiration from Berger (1956). Two other articles (Čašule 1997/1998, Čašule 1999) refer to Burushaski materials to discuss the etymologies of the Macedonian river Vardar and the verb *vrne* ‘to rain’.

*I am grateful to Étienne Tiffou for his comments on a draft of this article.

2. Areal and typological studies. Burushaski is spoken in the region transitional between South and Central Asia. Thus the study of areal and contact phenomena, both historical and synchronic, has been since Lorimer's time an active and productive area of research. Lorimer (1937) discusses local convergence effects between HB and Shina, and YB with Khowar and Wakhi. Benveniste (1948) discusses cultural loanwords common in Burushaski, Shina, Khowar, and Wakhi. Toporov (1965), Edel'man (1968), and Tikkanen (1995b, 1999) analyze the geographical distribution of phonological oppositions, especially those critical to reconstruction of the history of linguistic development in the region. Bashir (1996) discusses and gives examples of complementizer constructions built from the conjunctive participle of the verb 'say' in Burushaski and adjacent languages from an areal perspective. The question of Burushaski as a substrate in the region is discussed in Edel'man (1976, 1980, 1984), Tikkanen (1985b, 1998, 1999), Bashir (1988:392–401, 1997)], and peripherally in Witzel (1999). New findings by Tikkanen (1999 ms) point to probable convergence of the Burushaski aspectual system with those of its Indo-Aryan neighbors Shina and Urdu.

Cultural-linguistic areal complexes are treated in Parkin (1987a,b) and Pfeffer (1984) on kinship patterns and terminologies in the Karakoram. Berger (1983) traces the etymologies of some Burushaski words denoting supernatural beings common to the cultures of the Karakoram and Hindu Kush region. Tuite (1998) finds folklore motifs linking Burushaski with the Caucasus, and Chaolong (1991) discusses cultural links between Burzahom in Kashmir and the Tibetan plateau. Bashir (1997) lists some common literary elements and some place names in Chitral possibly of Burushaski origin. Hussain (1996) discusses paralinguistic politeness signals shared by speakers of Shina and Burushaski.

Typological studies include Berger (1992), Toporov (1970), Tikkanen (1988, 1995a), and Klimov & Edel'man (1995).

3. Internal reconstruction. The time depth of Burushaski materials available for study is shallow. The earliest materials available are the single sentences or fragments dating from the middle to late 19th century recorded in Cunningham (1854), Hayward (1871), Biddulph (1884), Leitner (1877, 1889), and Grierson (1919). The first text of any length appears in Biddulph (1884). Poucha (1960) considers the question of whether the "Bruša" language, fragments of which are found in a first century text, can be identified with old Burushaski, concluding that this is not likely to be the case.

Although Morgenstierne (1935a:xix) voiced pessimism about the possibility of reconstructing earlier forms of the language, a considerable body of work toward this end has been done. Vogt (1945) analyzes the distribution of the numerous plural suffixes and deduces earlier forms. Borgström (1945) attempts reconstruction of the earlier semantic gender/class system. Berger (1959) discusses apparent Burushaski loans in Romani, with the aim of obtaining glimpses of some older Burushaski forms. Dyachok (1988), however, finds only two of the words offered as parallels in Berger (1959) to

be probable Burushaski loans in Romani. Klimov & Edel'man (1972) discuss the relict pattern appearing in terms for some paired body parts, which prefixes a form of the numeral 'two', e.g. *-ltu-mal* '(both) ears'. Tiffou & Morin (1982a) reconstruct a five-element color term system for proto-Burushaski, offering interesting scope for comparison with the color systems of neighboring languages. Berger (1992) discusses reconstruction using typological criteria, and Berger (1994) demonstrates employing documented sound changes to deduce older forms or changed class membership of certain words. An important paper by Klimov and Edel'man (1995) discusses possibilities for internal reconstruction employing typological insights and verification by observation of areal configurations. Tuite (1998) compares Burushaski and Northeast Caucasian forms which he considers to provide evidence of early contact with Northeast Caucasian languages. In addition to these articles specifically addressed to the problem of internal reconstruction, the comprehensive works of Lorimer, Berger, and Tiffou contain numerous suggested historical antecedents for specific forms.

Comprehensive synchronic works covering grammar and lexicon and including a selection of texts are Zarubin (1927) for YB, Lorimer (1935a,b; 1938) on HB, Berger (1974) on YB, Tiffou & Pesot (1989) on YB, Berger (1998) on HB and NB. Tiffou (1999) is a semi-pedagogical work covering the essential grammar and phonology of both HB and YB. Tikkanen (1991b) gives an English introduction to Tiffou & Pesot (1989) and Morin, Pesot & Tiffou (1979); Tikkanen (2000 to appear) provides an English orientation to Berger (1998).

4. Lexicographical studies. The earliest articles on Burushaski consist mainly of word lists. Zarubin (1927) contains a complete summary of earlier work, including little-known Russian sources, and also the fullest description of YB until Berger (1974). Lorimer (1938) is a major contribution to the lexical study of HB, while Lorimer (1962) and Berger (1974) are major contributions to YB lexicography. Morin, Pesot & Tiffou (1979) adds to Lorimer and Berger's work on YB. Morin, Tiffou, & Berger (1989) includes the materials in the previously mentioned article as well as additional new material. Berger (1998, Vol. 3) is the most recent major contribution on HB lexicography.

Loanwords in Burushaski are examined in Lorimer (1937), Berger (1966, 1990), and Parkin (1987b). Morin & Tiffou (1989:5) note that a large part of the contemporary YB lexicon consists of loanwords.

5. Phonology. Lorimer was least confident in the area of phonology, and his pioneering 1935–38 work notes many areas of uncertainty, particularly with regard to vowel qualities. He does, however, in a separate paper (Lorimer 1936), discuss syntactic and affective effects of vowel lengthening. The exact consonant inventory, and the nature of length, stress and tone and their morphological roles were not well understood until the time of Berger (1966, 1974). Since then, the analysis of both HB and YB phonology has been greatly refined by Berger (1974, 1998) and Tiffou, especially

Tiffou & Pesot (1989:4–14), in their comprehensive studies of the language. Specific phonological studies include Morgenstierne (1945), a description of synchronic and historical phonology based on materials available at the time; Toporov (1970) on the phonology of Burushaski in a typological and areal context; Morin (1975; 1976a,b) on morphophonemic constraints and historical considerations motivated by them; Marchal, Tiffou & Warren (1977), an instrumental investigation of voice onset time, which finds its role to be not significant in Burushaski phonology; and Anderson (1997) which includes a descriptive summary and discussion of phonotactics and root structure. Morin & Dagenais (1977) is a study of phonological developments in Urdu loans in YB. Still, there remain fewer studies of phonology than of synchronic morphology, semantics and syntax.

6. Morphology and syntax. Burushaski's complex morphology has engaged researchers from the beginning. Features like the four nominal classes, the numerous plural markers, personal prefixes, the complex ergative system different from that of any of its neighboring languages, and the *d*-prefix are among the topics to first receive detailed attention. Barbour (1921) contains an early description of pronominal morphology and the vigesimal numeral system. Later morphological studies include Vogt (1945) on the distribution of nominal and adjectival pluralizing morphemes; Borgström (1945) on the categories of person, number, and class; Benveniste (1947–8) on the nominal classification; Bashir (1985) on the semantic categories morphologized in the use of the personal prefixes and the *d*-prefix in HB; and Tiffou & Morin (1993) on the *d*-prefix in YB. Tiffou (1977), and Tiffou & Morin (1982b) describe the split ergative system, which is considerably different from the typical IA pattern known from Hindi or Urdu. Tikkanen (1995a) focuses on the synchronic function, historical development, and areal patterning of "converbs", which largely overlaps the class of conjunctive participles in South Asian languages. Morin & Tiffou (1983, 1988) discuss the nature of Burushaski passive and passive-like "pathetic" constructions. Willson (1996) analyzes verb agreement and case marking in the framework of relational grammar.

Recently a series of major studies of YB by Tiffou and Patry at Montreal based on the texts in Tiffou & Pesot (1989) have appeared. Tiffou (1995a) discusses the aspectual system of YB and the expression of anteriority and posteriority. Tiffou & Patry (1995a, 1995 ms) deal with the morphological expression of multiple action, which is distinct from multiple actors or agents, in YB. Tiffou & Patry (1995b) describe the syntax of relative clauses in YB. Tiffou & Patry (1998) analyze the semantics of double causative constructions in YB. Tiffou & Patry (1993) and Patry & Tiffou (1998, forthcoming) discuss mechanisms of text coherence and discourse structure.

7. Texts. A considerable body of textual material has by now been published. Most of it is found in scholarly publications by and for linguists, but recently materials have begun to appear which are addressed to Burushaski speakers, notably the writings of Nasiruddin Hunzai (e.g. Hunzai 1977; 1991b,c,d; 1992). Some of his works have been translated into Urdu and English. A series of short texts in Roman transcription has

been prepared by Willson (1994a,b,c,d,e). Frémont (1987) discusses a text (not yet published) written by a spiritual son of Nasiruddin Hunzai in Burushaski about his mother tongue.

Table 1 lists published texts chronologically by publication date, indicating the type of text(s), approximate length in lines, and language variety.

Table 1. Published Burushaski texts

Pub.	No. of texts	Approx. total lines	Text type	Dialect	Notes on text
Biddulph (1884)	3 + 19 sents.	46 + 19	Realistic narratives (hunting, hawking, fighting).	NB (?)	
Grierson (1919)	1	38	"Prodigal Son".	HB	Text from 1899.
Zarubin (1927)	1	37	"Prodigal Son".	YB	Text from 1898.
	2	55	1 version of "Prodigal Son", 1 humorous tale.	YB	Includes a discussion of "Prodigal Son" in Grierson (1919).
Varma (1931)	11	101 words	Folk tale.	HB	"North Wind and the Sun" in both dialects.
		93 words	Folk tale.	NB	
Lorimer (1932)	1	41	Local tale (Muunulum Daado).	HB	Republished in Lorimer (1935b).
Lorimer (1935b)	47	3,337	4 foreign tales; 28 local tales and legends, 11 texts on local customs, 2 poems, 2 translations, 45 proverbs.	HB	Recorded in 1923–4.
Lorimer (1935b)	3	122	2 fairy tales; 1 translation.	YB	Recorded in 1923–4.
Lorimer (1962)	7	621	6: traditional tales, lore; 1 conversational sample.	YB	Recorded in 1923–4, 1935.
Berger (1974)	18	757	Folk tales, legends, local lore.	YB	
Frémont (1982)	19	873	Traditional local lore and tales, 1 love poem, several texts based on modern life.	HB	Recorded from one informant, 1977–79.
Tiffou & Pesot (1989)	18	431 (5,568 words)	Tales (humorous, moral, local folklore, fairytales, true anecdote).	YB	Recorded in 1979.
Tikkanen (1991a)	1	507	Folk tale.	HB	Recorded in 1989. Includes comparative folkloristic analysis.

Hunzai (1991a)	256	256	Riddles.	HB	Roman transcription, no analysis, not translated.
Tiffou <i>et al.</i> (1993)	563	563	Proverbs (198), jussive formulas (41), questions and exclamations (48), comparisons (184), phatic phrases (60), riddles (32).	HB	Republishes 45 proverbs from Lorimer (1935b) and 70 from Hunzai <i>et al.</i> (1984).
Willson (1994b)	6	73	Tales, riddles, proverbs.	HB	Primer of Roman Burushaski, intended for local readers.
Willson (1994a)	7	190	Folk tales.	HB	Adapted from Lorimer (1935b).
Willson (1994c)	2	131	Folk tales (Muunulum Daado).	HB	Adapted from Lorimer (1935b).
Willson (1994d)	1	391	Folk tale (Shahzada Bahraam).	HB	Adapted from Lorimer (1935b).
Willson (1994e)	11	271	Informational articles: health, child-rearing.	HB	Addressed to local readers.
Skyhawk & Berger (1996)	1	1,078	Kesar saga.	NB	Includes copious folkloristic and cultural analysis.
Berger (1998)	41	1,690	Local lore and legends; many accounts of encounters with supernatural beings.	HB	Recorded prior to 1961.
Tiffou (1999)	26	841	2 folk tales (excerpts), riddles and proverbs.	NB	
Tiffou (1999)	3			HB	Based on previously published materials in Tikkanen (1991a), Skyhawk and Berger (1996), and Tiffou <i>et al.</i> (1993).
Tiffou (1999)	10	182	Conversational texts.	YB	Some constructed for pedagogical purposes; some spontaneous.
Tiffou (1999)	3	38	2 tales, 1 text on polo.	YB	2 tales prev. pub. in Tiffou and Pesot (1989).

The increasing amount of textual material available enables Burushaski study to embark on a new phase of research based on textual analysis. The studies of Tiffou &

Patry (1993) and Patry & Tiffou (1998, forthcoming) are pioneering contributions to the application of text linguistic techniques to Burushaski. Frémont (1989) is also based on quantitative text analysis. Similar textual studies of HB and NB are now possible on the wealth of textual material in Berger (1998) and Skyhawk *et al.* (1996).

8. Writing and script. Until recently, aside from the phonetic transcriptions of scholars, Burushaski was not written. Now, two parallel developments in the writing of Burushaski for local readers are emerging. The first utilizes a modified Perso-Arabic script, used by Nasiruddin Hunzai and other local authors. One version of this is set forth in the publication by the Burushaski Research Committee (1985), which employs both Perso-Arabic and Roman scripts. Beg (1980) presents another version of Perso-Arabic orthography. Standardization of a Perso-Arabic orthography remains in the future. The second development uses Roman transcription, following the schema for representing the relation between stress and tone in long vowel sounds first published in Berger (1960), and standard South Asianist notation for consonants. A variation of Roman Burushaski, designed for ease of reading by persons familiar with Roman script as used in English, modifies the notation for consonant sounds with the aim of minimizing diacritics (Willson 1994b, 1999:3–7).

9. Sociolinguistic studies. Sociolinguistically oriented studies of Burushaski are very recent. Frémont (1989) discusses the use of absolute clause linking strategies with respect to the variables of gender and literary genre. Backstrom (1992) investigates lexical similarity percentages and mutual intelligibility and language attitudes in nine villages representing YB, HB, and NB. Kreutzmann (1998) investigates the correlations of linguistic groupings with various cultural and ethnic identities including religious denomination. Patry & Tiffou (1997) find that YB may be undergoing a process of relexification under the influence of Urdu; and Berger (1992) points again to the rapidity of linguistic change.

Frembgen (1986) is an important discussion of the settlement of the Nager valley. Tiffou (1995b) is a cultural/ethnographic description of the Yasin Valley, and a discussion and bibliography of ethnographic studies of the Burusho people is to be found in Tiffou (1998). Frémont (1993, 1991) discuss economic history and cultural motifs of the Burusho. Willson (1999), an ethnographic study of the Hunza Valley, includes a nineteen page index of Burushaski cultural terms.

10. Desiderata. Given the rapidity of linguistic and cultural change in the Burushaski-speaking area, the most urgent research task, in this author's opinion, is the collection of texts to build an archive of linguistic material and traditional cultural content at this stage of the language. Life histories, local lore, discussion of traditional medicines, traditional games, descriptions of old settlements, traditional agricultural practices are all potentially fruitful areas. Local researchers could play a central role in this effort. Texts are a rich source not only of cultural and historical information, but of previously unrecorded lexical items, and are a means of enriching the database of contexts

in which previously recorded words occur, particularly verb collocations. Such an archive could be the base of further work toward internal reconstruction, and the eventual compiling of a comparative/etymological dictionary. In this connection, the editing and publication of Lorimer's and Morgenstierne's as yet unpublished materials would be a valuable contribution.

There is a dearth of detailed comparative dialect studies, the need for which is stressed by Klimov and Edel'man (1995). Varma (1931) includes some remarks comparing HB and NB, and Varma (1941) remains a unique contribution. These two papers by Varma and Backstrom (1992) are the only works specifically focused on comparison of the Burushaski dialects. Fussman (1972) maps a standard set of 167 words in the Dardic languages and the YB and HB dialects. Research priorities include dialect mapping and work on the comparative phonology and morphology of the three dialect areas. The Burushaski-speaking region also offers fertile ground for research on multilingualism, child language acquisition in a multilingual environment, and continued work on contact phenomena.

References

- Anderson, G. D. S. 1997. Burushaski phonology. In Kaye, A. S. (ed.), *Phonologies of Asia and Africa (including the Caucasus)*, vol. 2, 1021–41. Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake (IN).
- Backstrom, P. C. 1992. Burushaski. In Backstrom, P. C. & C. F. Radloff (eds), *Languages of Northern Areas. Sociolinguistic Survey of Northern Pakistan, Volume 2*, vol. 2, *Sociolinguistic Survey of Northern Pakistan*, 31–54. National Institute of Pakistan Studies, Quaid-i-Azam University and Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.
- Barbour, P. L. 1921. Buručaskii, a Language of Northern Kashmir. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, Feb. 1921: 60–72.
- Bashir, E. 1985. Toward a semantics of the Burushaski verb. In Zide, A. R. K., D. Magier & E. Schiller (eds), *Proceedings of the conference on participant roles: South Asia and adjacent areas*, 1–32. Indiana University Linguistics Club, Bloomington (IN).
- Bashir, E. 1988. *Topics in Kalasha syntax: An areal and typological perspective*. Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Michigan. University Microfilms, Ann Arbor (MI).
- Bashir, E. 1996. Mosaic of tongues: Quotatives and complementizers in Northwest Indo-Aryan, Burushaski and Balti. In Hanaway, W. L. & W. L. Heston (eds), *Studies in Pakistani popular culture*, 187–286. Sang-e-Meel with Lok Virsa, Lahore.
- Bashir, E. 1997. Burushaski-Khowar commonalities. *Third International Himalayan Languages Symposium*, 18–20 July 1997, Santa Barbara, 1997.
- Beg, Q. 1980. *Burushaski qaida aur huruf-i-tahajji* (Burushaski base harpus fas manimiyen). Karina Printers, Rawalpindi.
- Bengston, J. D. 1991. Some Macro-Caucasian etymologies. In Shevoroshkin, V. (ed.), *Dene Sino-Caucasian languages*, 130–41. Bochum, Bochum.
- Bengston, J. D. 1992a. The Dene-Caucasian Macrophylum. In Shevoroshkin, V. (ed.), *Nostratic, Dene-Caucasian, Austric and Amerind*, 334–52. Universitätsverlag Dr. Norbert Brockmeyer, Bochum.
- Bengston, J. D. 1992b. Macro-Caucasian phonology. In Shevoroshkin, V. (ed.), *Nostratic, Dene-Caucasian, Austric and Amerind: Materials from the First International Interdisciplinary Symposium on Language and Prehistory*, Ann Arbor, 8–12 November, 1988, 342–51. Universitätsverlag Dr. Norman Brockmeyer, Bochum.
- Bengston, J. D. 1997 ms. *Founder effects in Dene-Caucasian: Noun prefix *s-*.
- Bengston, J. D. 1996/1998. Caucasian and Sino-Tibetan: A hypothesis of S.A. Starostin. *General Linguistics* 36/1/2: 33–49.
- Bengston, J. D. 1997. Ein Vergleich von Buruschaski und Nordkaukasisch (A comparison of Burushaski and (North) Caucasian). *Georgica* 20: 88–94.
- Benveniste, É. 1947–8. Remarques sur la classe nominale en burushaski. *Bulletin de la Société Linguistique de Paris* 44(1): 64–71.
- Benveniste, É. 1948. Mots voyageurs en Asie centrale. *Journal Asiatique* 236: 177–88.
- Berger, H. 1956. Mittelmeerische Kulturpflanzennamen aus dem Burushaski. *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft* 9: 4–33.
- Berger, H. 1959. Die Burushaski Lehnwörter in der Zigeunersprache. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 3: 17–43.
- Berger, H. 1960. Bericht über sprachliche und volkskundliche Forschungen im Hunzatal. *Anthropos* 55: 657–64.
- Berger, H. 1966. Remarks on Shina loans in Burushaski. In Dil, A. S. (ed.), *Shahidullah presentation volume*, 79–88. Lahore.
- Berger, H. 1974. *Das Yasin-Burushaski (Werchikwar): Grammatik, Texte, Wörterbuch*. (Neuindische Studien Bd. 3) O. Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden.
- Berger, H. 1983. Etymologische Bemerkungen zu einigen auf Geister und Geisterglaube bezügliche Wörter im Burushaski. In Snoy, P. (ed.), *Ethnologie und Geschichte. Festschrift für Karl Jettmar*, vol. 86, *Beiträge zur Südasiensforschung Südasiens-Institut Universität Heidelberg*, 29–33. Steiner, Wiesbaden.
- Berger, H. 1990. Burushaski. In Yar-Shater, E. (ed.), *Encyclopedia Iranica*, vol. 4 (fasc. 1–8), 567–68. Routledge & Kegan Paul, London.
- Berger, H. 1992. Das Burushaski: Schicksale einer zentralasiatischen Restsprache: vorgetragen am 12. Januar, 1991. *Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historische Klasse*; Jahrg. 1992, Bericht 1: 25.
- Berger, H. 1994. Kombinatorischer Lautwandel im Burushaski. *Studien zur Indologie und Iranistik* 19: 1–9.
- Berger, H. in collaboration with and Nasiruddin Nasir Hunzai. 1998. *Die Burushaski-Sprache von Hunza und Nager*. (Neuindische Studien Bd. 13) Harrasowitz, Wiesbaden.
- Biddulph, J. 1884. Dialects of tribes of the Hindu Khush (reprint of grammar and vocabulary from Biddulph's Tribes of the Hindoo Koosh, corrected). (Burushaski grammar and vocabulary). *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 16: 74–119.
- Blažek, V. & J. D. Bengston. 1995. Lexica Dene-Caucasica. *Central Asiatic Journal* 39.1: 11–50, 39.2: 161–64.
- Bleichsteiner, R. 1930. Die werschikisch-buruschkische Sprache im Pamir-Gebiet und ihre Stellung zu den Japhetitensprachen des Kaukasus. *Wiener Beiträge zur Kunde des Morgenlandes* 1: 289–331.
- Borgstrøm, C. H. 1945. The categories of person, number and class in the verbal system of Burushaski. *Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvitenskap* 13: 130–47.
- Bouda, K. 1950a. Die Sprache der Buruscho. *Eusko-Jakintza: Revue d'Études Basques* 4: 337–46.
- Bouda, K. 1950b. Die Sprache der Buruscho. *Eusko-Jakintza* 4: 37–51.
- Bouda, K. 1954. Burushaski Etymologien. *Orbis: Bulletin International de Documentation Linguistique* 3: 228–30.
- Bouda, K. 1964. Burushaski Etymologien II. *Orbis: Bulletin International de Documentation Linguistique* 13: 604–09.
- Burushaski Research Committee. 1985. *Inay Burushaski* (Basic Burusaski). Burushaski Research Committee, Hunza, Gilgit, Karachi.
- Čašule, I. 1997/1998. Uste edno tolkuvanje na etimologijata na Vardar. In *Festschrift for Acad. Olivera Jasar-Nasteva*, vol. 23, *Godisen zbornik na Filologiski fakultet "Blaže Koneski"* na Univerzitetot vo Skopje, 323–32. Univerzitetot vo Skopje, Skopje.
- Čašule, I. 1998. *Basic Burushaski etymologies: The Indo-European and Paleo-Balkanic affinities of Burushaski*. (LINCOM Etymological Studies; 01) LINCOM Europa, München.
- Čašule, I. 1999. Za etimologijata na makedonskiot glagol vrne (On the etymology of the Macedonian verb vrne 'to rain' (with a dialectal map)). *Prilozi na Addelenieto za lingvistika i literaturna nauka, Makedonska akademija na naukite i umetnostite* 24: ms 15 pages (in press).
- Chao long, Xu. 1991. The cultural links over the Himalayan ranges in the prehistoric period. *Orient* 27: 312–32.
- Benveniste, É. 1946. *Le problème des noms propres dans les langues indo-européennes*. Paris.
- Bengtson, J. D. 1996. *Founding effects in Dene-Caucasian: Noun prefix *s-*. (Linguistics 36/1/2: 33–49).

- Cunningham, A. 1854. *Ladak, physical, statistical, and historical; with notes of the surrounding country*. London. (Reprinted 1970, Sagar Publications, New Delhi.)
- Dyachok, M. T. 1988. Burushaski-tyganskie jazykovye kontakty (po povodu sta'i Ch. Bergera). In Boldyreva, B. V. & L.V. Petropavlovskaya (eds), *Grammaticheskaja i semanticheskaja struktura slova v jazykakh narodov sibiri*, 114–128. Akademija Nauk SSSR, Sibirskoe Otdelenie, Institut Istorii Filologii i filosofii, Novosibirsk.
- Edel'man, D. I. 1968. *Osnovnye voprosy lingvisticheskoy geografii na materiale indo-iranskih jazykov*. Akademija Nauk SSSR, Institut jazykoznanija, Moscow.
- Edel'man, D. I. 1976. Strukturnye 'anomalii' vostochnoiranskix jazykov i tipologija substrat. In Edel'man, D. I. 1976. *Strukturnye 'anomalii' vostochnoiranskix jazykov i tipologija substrat*. In Studien zur allgemeinen und vergleichenden Sprachwissenschaft, Karl Ammer zu Gedanken, 79–93. Friedrich-Schiller University, Jena.
- Edel'man, D. I. 1980. K substratnomu naslediju tsentralnoaziatskogo jazykovogo sojusa. *Voprosy jazykoznanija* 5: 21–32.
- Edel'man, D. I. 1984. K substratnym javleniam v sintaksise iranskix jazykov. In Gaffarob, R. G. & V. B. Silina (eds), *Voprosy dialektologii istorii jazyka*, 34–41. Dushanbe.
- Frembgen, J. 1986. Ethnographical field-research on the history and culture of Nager: Some preliminary remarks on the process of settlement. *Central Asiatic Journal* 30: 22–33.
- Freinmont, A. 1982. Contribution à l'étude du burushaski. Dix-neuf récits de Ali Ahmed Jan (Nagir) avec mot-à-mot, traduction, notes, commentaires et lexique. Thèse manuscrite doctorat de troisième cycle, Université de Paris III.
- Frémont, A. 1987. Un texte 'historique' Burushaski baas: 'la langue burushaski.' *Bulletin d'Études Indiennes* 5: 97–107.
- Frémont, A. 1989. Quelques remarques à propos de l'absolutif de reprise en burushaski. In Caillat, C. (ed.), *Dialectes dans les littératures indo-aryennes. Actes du colloque international*, vol. 55, 461–65. Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne, Paris.
- Frémont, A. 1991. La notion de jardin en Burushaski. In Gyselen, R. & J.-C. David (eds), *Jardins d'Orient*, vol. 3, *Res Orientales*, 73–5. Groupe pour l'étude de la civilisation du Moyen-Orient; Peeters, Paris.
- Frémont, A. 1992. *Recits inédits en burushaski (Transcription–Traduction–Commentaire–Lexique)*. (Tome I, II) These manuscrite pour le doctorat d'état ès Lettres, Université de la Sorbonne Nouvelle, Paris III.
- Frémont, A. 1993. Remarques sur les activités commerciales des pays Burushos de 1761 à nos jours. Fiction et réalité. In *Circulation des monnaies, des marchandises et des biens*, vol. 5, *Res Orientales*, 179–87. Groupe pour l'Étude de la Civilisation du Moyen-Orient, Bures-sur-Yvette.
- Fussman, G. 1972. *Atlas linguistique des parlers dardes et kafirs*. Ecole française d'Extrême-Orient, Paris.
- Grierson, G. A. 1919. Burushaski or Khajuna. In Grierson, G. A. (ed.), *Linguistic Survey of India, Volume 8, Part 2. Indo-Aryan family, North-western group*, vol. 8, part 2, 551–67. Calcutta. (Reprinted 1968, Motilal Banarsi Dass, Delhi.)
- Hayward, G. W. 1871. Vocabularies of the dialects of Dardistan, Wakhan, Shighnan, and Roshan. Appendix to 'Letters from Mr. G. W. Hayward on his explorations in Gilgit and Yassin'. *Journal of the Royal Geographical Society* 41: 18–30.
- Hunzai, N. N. 1977. *Rumiz-i-ruhani*. Khanah-i-Hikmat, Karachi.
- Hunzai, N. N. 1991a. *Burushaski burjooning (Burushaski riddles)*. Burushaski Research Academy, Hunzai, Gilgit, Karachi.
- Hunzai, N. N. 1991b. *Burusho birkis*. Burushaski Research Academy, Hunza, Gilgit, Karachi.
- Hunzai, N. N. 1991c. *Flowers of paradise = Bihishte asquring*. Khanah-i Hikmat: Idarah-i Arif, Hunzai, N. N., Y.-C. Morin & É. Tiffou. 1984. Proverbes du Hounza. *Orbis: Bulletin International de Documentation Linguistique* 33: 239–51.
- Hussain, I. 1996. Non-verbal signals of politeness in Burushaski and Shina. *Journal of Central Asia Studies* 19: 159–67.
- Klimov, G. A. & D. I. Edel'man. 1970. *Jazyk burushaski. Jazyki narodov Azii i Afriki*. Institut vostokovedeniya (Akademija nauk SSSR), Nauka, Moscow.
- Klimov, G. A. & D. I. Edel'man. 1972. K nazvanijam parnyx chastej tela v jazyke burushaski (On the designation of paired body parts in the Burushaski language). *Etimologija* 1972: 160–62.
- Klimov, G. A. & D. I. Edel'man. 1995. K perspektivam rekonstruktsii istorii izolirovannogo jazyka: na materiale jazyka burushaski (Prospects for the reconstruction of the history of an isolated language [The Burushaski language]). *Voprosy jazykoznanija* 44: 27–38.
- Kreutzmann, H. 1998. Sprachdifferenzierung in ost-Hindukusch und Karakorum (Linguistic diversity in the Eastern Hindukush and Karakorum). In Steblin-Kamensky, I. M. et al. (eds), *Strany i narody vostoka, Vol. 30, Tsentral'naja azija vostochnyj gindukush*, vol. 30, 83–108. Peterburgskoe Vostokovedenie, St. Petersburg.
- Leitner, G. W. 1877. *The Languages and Races of Dardistan*. Lahore.
- Leitner, G. W. 1889. *The Hunza and Nagyr hand-book; being an introduction to a knowledge of the language, race, and countries of Hunza, Nagyr, and a part of Yasin, Part I*. Printed by the superintendent of government printing India, Calcutta.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1932. A Burushaski Text from Hunza. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies* 4: 505–31.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1935a. *The Burushaski language. Vol. I. Introduction and Grammar*. Publikationer ser. B: Skrifter—Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning; 29, 1–3. Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning, H. Aschehoug, Oslo.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1935b. *The Burushaski Language. Vol. II. Texts and Translations*. Vol. 3. Publikationer ser. B: Skrifter—Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning; 29, 1–3. Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning, Oslo.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1936. *Nugae Burushaskicae*. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies* 8: 627–36.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1937. Burushaski and its alien neighbors. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 1937: 63–98.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1938. *The Burushaski Language, Vol. III. Vocabularies and Index*. Vol. 3. Publikationer ser. B: Skrifter—Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning; 29, 1–3. Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning, Oslo.
- Lorimer, D. L. R. 1962. *Werchikwar English vocabulary; with a few Werchikwar texts*. Vol. 51. Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning. Serie B: Skrifter. Norwegian Universities Press, Oslo.
- Marchal, A., É. Tiffou & R. Warren. 1977. A propos du "VOT": le cas du bourouchaski (On "VOT": The case of Burushaski). *Phonetica* 34: 40–53.
- Morgenstierne, G. 1935. Preface to The Burushaski Language, by D. L. R. Lorimer. In Lorimer, D. L. R., *The Burushaski language. Vol. I. Introduction and Grammar*. Publikationer ser. B: Skrifter—Instituttet for sammenlignende kulturforskning; 29, 1–3, vii–xxx. Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning, H. Aschehoug, Oslo.
- Morgenstierne, G. 1945. Notes on Burushaski Phonology. *Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvitenskap* 13: 61–95.
- Morin, Y.-C. 1975. La phonétique est-elle abstraite?: le cas du bourouchaski. *Recherches linguistiques à Montréal* 5: 175–180.
- Morin, Y.-C. 1976a. Contraintes de structures morphématiques en bourouchaski. In *Actes du sixième congrès de l'Association linguistique du Nord-Est*, 197–204.
- Morin, Y.-C. 1976b. Naissance d'une contrainte de structure morphématique en bouroučhaški. *Recherches linguistiques à Montréal* 7: 157–62.
- Morin, Y.-C. & L. Dagenais. 1977. Les emprunts ourdous en bourouchaski. *Journal Asiatique* 265: 307–43.
- Morin, Y.-C., J. Pesot & É. Tiffou. 1979. Complément au lexique du bourouchaski du Yasin. *Journal Asiatique* 267: 137–153.
- Morin, Y.-C. & É. Tiffou. 1983. Les tournures passives en bourouchaski (Passive constructions in Burushaski). In Hattori, S. & K. Inoue (eds), *Proceedings of the XIIIth International Congress of Linguists, August 29–September 3, 1982, Tokyo (Actes du XIIIème congrès internationale des linguistes)*, 957–61. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, under the auspices of CIPL (The Hague).

- Morin, Y.-C. & É. Tiffou. 1988. Passive in Burushaski. In Shibatani, M. (ed.), *Passive and Voice*, 493–524. (Typological Studies in Language 16) Benjamins, Amsterdam.
- Morin, Y.-C., E. Tiffou & H. Berger. 1989. *Dictionnaire complémentaire du Bourouchaski du Yasin (Supplementary dictionary of Yasin Burushaski)*. (Études bourouchaski; 2) Peeters/SELAF, Paris.
- Parkin, R. J. 1987a. Kin Classification in the Karakorum. *Man New Series*, 22: 157–70.
- Parkin, R. J. 1987b. Tibeto-Burman and Indo-European loans in Burushaski kinship terminology. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies* 50: 325–29.
- Patry, R. 1997. *Les emprunts lexicaux à l'ourdou en bourouchaski du Yasin: un phénomène que varie selon l'âge*. ms: Communication au congrès New Wave (Québec 1997). Edited by É. Tiffou.
- Patry, R. & É. Tiffou. 1998. Etude exploratoire des connecteurs de liaison dans un corpus de contes en bourouchaski du Yasin: Critères d'identification, quantité et distribution. In Duhoux, A. (ed.), *Langue et langues. Hommage à Albert Maniet*, 225–43. (Cahiers de l'Institut de Linguistique de Louvain, 97) Peeters, Louvain la Neuve.
- Patry, R. & É. Tiffou. forthcoming. An analysis of grammatical anaphora within a corpus of tales in Yasin Burushaski. In van Driem, G. (ed.), *Himalayan Languages*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Peiros, I. 1988 ms. Sinokavkazskaya teoriya i burushaskii jazyk. In *Problemy izuchenija sravnitel'nogo-istoricheskogo jazykoznanija i lingvicheskoi istorii o vostoke i juge azii*, 214–27. Institute of Far Eastern Studies, Academy of Sciences, USSR, Moscow.
- Pfeffer, G. 1984. Kin classification in Hunza. *Journal of Central Asia* 7: 57–67.
- Poucha, P. 1960. Brusa-Burushaski? *Central Asiatic Journal* 5: 295–300.
- Skyhawk, H. van, in collaboration with H. Berger & K. Jettmar. 1996. *Libi Kisar: ein Volkseplos im Burushaski vom Nager*. Mit Beiträgen und Ergänzungen vom H. Berger und K. Jettmar. (Asiatische Forschungen, Bd. 133) Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden.
- Starostin, S. A. 1984. Gipoteza o geneticheskix svjazjax sinotibetskix jazykov i jenisejskimi i severnokavkazskimi jazykami. In *Lingvisticheskaja rekonstrukcija i drevnejshaja istorija Vostoka*, vol. 4, 19–38. Moscow.
- Starostin, S. A. 1991. On the hypothesis of a genetic connection between the Sino-Tibetan languages and the Yeniseian and North Caucasian languages. In Shevoroshkin, V. (ed.), *Dene-Sino-Caucasian languages*, 12–41. Bochum, Bochum.
- Starostin, S. A. & S. L. Nikolayev. 1994. *A North Caucasian etymological dictionary*. Asterisk Publishers, Moscow.
- Tiffou, É. 1977. L'effacement de l'ergatif en Bourouchaski (The disappearance of the ergative in Burushaski). *Studia Linguistica* 31: 18–36.
- Tiffou, É. 1995a. Aspect et temps en bourouchaski du Yasin: l'expression de l'antériorité et de la postériorité (Aspect and tense in Yasin Burushaski: The expression of anteriority and posteriority). *Cahiers de l'Institut de Linguistique de Louvain* 21: 139–71.
- Tiffou, É. 1995b. *Yasin, vallée heureuse de l'Himalaya: étude sur les Bouroushs du Yasin (Pakistan septentrional)*. (Asie et monde insulindien 23) Peeters, Paris.
- Tiffou, É. 1998. Regional report: Pakistan: Non Indo-European. In Singh, R. (ed.), *Yearbook of South Asian Languages and Linguistics*, 1998, 196–202. Sage Publications, New Delhi.
- Tiffou, É. 1999. *Parlons Bourouchaski*. L'harmattan, Paris.
- Tiffou, É. & Y.-C. Morin. 1982a. Étude sur les couleurs en bourouchaski. *Journal Asiatique* 270: 91–111.
- Tiffou, É. & Y.-C. Morin. 1982b. A note on split ergativity in Burushaski. *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London* 45: 88–94.
- Tiffou, É. & Y.-C. Morin. 1993. Le Préfixe *d-* en bourouchaski du Yasin. In Crochetière, A. J.-C., Boulanger & C. Ouellet (eds), *Actes du XVe Congrès International des Linguistes, Québec, Université Laval 9–14 August 1992: Les Langues menacées/Endangered Languages*, vol. 2, 385–88. Université Laval, Sainte-Foy.
- Tiffou, É. in collaboration with Y.-C. Morin, H. Berger, D. L. R. Lorimer et al. 1993. *Hunza proverbs*. University of Calgary Press, Calgary.
- Tiffou, É. & R. Patry. 1993. L'expression de la cohésion lexicale en bourouchaski: de la continuité du discours. *Working Papers of the University of Toronto* 14. 14pp.
- Tiffou, É. & R. Patry. 1995a. La notion de pluralité verbale: le Cas du bourouchaski du Yasin. *Journal Asiatique* 283: 407–44.

- Tiffou, É. & R. Patry. 1995b. La relative en bourouchaski du Yasin. *Bulletin de la Société de Paris* 110: 335–90.
- Tiffou, É. & R. Patry. 1995 ms. Verbal plurality and the notion of multiple-event in Yasin Burushaski. Paper presented at the *Third International Hindukush Cultural Conference, Chitral, Pakistan*, 1995.
- Tiffou, É. & R. Patry. 1998. La double causation en bourouchaski du Yasin. In Caron, B. (ed.), *Actes du XVIème congrès International des linguistes, Section 3: Typologie et invariants*, 10. Pergamon, Oxford (published in CD format), Texte 0068.
- Tiffou, É. & J. Pesot. 1989. *Contes du Yasin: introduction au bourouchaski du Yasin avec grammaire et dictionnaire analytique (Yasin folk tales: Introduction to the Burushaski language of Yasin with a grammar and an analytical dictionary)*. (Asien et monde insulindien; 16) Peeters/SELAF, Paris.
- Tikkanen, B. 1988. On Burushaski and other ancient substrata in Northwestern South Asia. *Studia Orientalia* 64: 303–25.
- Tikkanen, B. 1991a. A Burushaski folktale, transcribed and translated: The frog as a bride or, The three princes and the fairy princess Salasir. *Studia Orientalia* 67: 65–125.
- Tikkanen, B. 1991b. Review of 'Contes du Yasin' (Étienne Tiffou & Jurgen Pesot) and 'Dictionnaire complémentaire du bourouchaski du Yasin' (Yves-Charles Morin & Étienne Tiffou). *Studia Orientalia* 67: 215–31.
- Tikkanen, B. 1995a. Burushaski converbs in their South and Central Asian areal context. In Haspelmath, M. & E. König (eds), *Converbs in cross-linguistic perspective: Structure and meaning of adverbial verb forms—adverbial participles, gerunds*, vol. 13, *Empirical Approaches to Language Typology*, 487–528. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Tikkanen, B. 1995b. Some areal phonological isoglosses in the transit zone between South and Central Asia. In *Third International Hindukush Cultural Conference, Chitral, 1995b*, 18.
- Tikkanen, B. 1999. Archaeological-linguistic correlations in the formation of retroflex typologies and correlating areal features in South Asia. In Blench, R. & M. Spriggs (eds), *Archaeology and language IV: Language change and cultural transformation*, 1st edition, *One world archaeology*, 138–48. Routledge, London.
- Tikkanen, B. 1999 ms. The verb 'hurúT' 'sit, stay, dwell', as a durative-iterative auxiliary in Hunza Burushaski: A South Asian areal feature in the Karakoram.
- Tikkanen, B. 2000 to appear. Review of 'Die burushaski-Sprache von Hunza und Nager'. *Kratylos*.
- Toporov, V. N. 1965. Neskol'ko zamechanij k fonologicheskoj xarakteristike tsentralnoaziatskogo jazykovogo sojusa. In *Symbolae Linguisticae in honorem Georgii Kurylowicza*, 322–30. Wrocław/Warszawa.
- Toporov, V. N. 1970. About the phonological typology of Burushaski. In Jakobson, R. & S. Kawamoto (eds), *Studies in general and oriental linguistics presented to Shiro Hattori on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*, 632–47. TEC Co. XXXVI, Tokyo.
- Toporov, V. N. 1971. Burushaski and Yeniseian languages: Some parallels. *Travaux Linguistiques de Prague* 4: 107–25.
- Tuite, K. 1998. Evidence for prehistoric links between the Caucasus and Central Asia: The case of the Burushos. In Mair, V. H. (ed.), *The Bronze Age and early Iron Age peoples of Eastern Central Asia*, Vol. 1, Archeology, Migration and Nomadism, 447–75. (Journal of Indo-European Studies Monograph 26) The Institute for the Study of Man in collaboration with the University of Pennsylvania Museum Publications, Washington (D.C.)
- Varma, S. 1931. Burushaski texts. *Indian Linguistics* 1: 256–82.
- Varma, S. 1941. Studies in Burushaski dialectology. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Letters* 7: 133–73.
- Vogt, H. 1945. The plural of nouns and adjectives in Burushaski. *Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogsvidenskap* 13: 96–129.
- Willson, S. R. 1994a. *BiTayoe Minashing (Stories of famous shamans)*. The Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.
- Willson, S. R. 1994b. *Juin, mishaaski ghatayan!* Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.
- Willson, S. R. 1994c. *Muunulum Daadoe Minas daa Puno ke Shiri Baraa Bagher Tham (The stories of Munulum Dado and Shiri Barai Bagher Tham)*. Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.
- Willson, S. R. 1994d. *Shaazaada Bahram ke burum fut*. Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.

- Willson, S. R. 1994e. *Zindagie gane yar-baago shaldeing* (*Facts for life*) (abridged adult version, Roman Burushaski). UNICEF, Pakistan.
- Willson, S. R. 1996. Verb agreement and case marking in Burushaski. Vol. 40. *Working Papers, Summer Institute of Linguistics, University of North Dakota Session*, 1996.
- Willson, S. R. 1999. A look at Hunza culture, 1st edition. Vol. 3. *Studies in languages of Northern Pakistan*. National Institute of Pakistan Studies, Quaid-i-Azam University and Summer Institute of Linguistics, Islamabad.
- Witzel, M. 1999. Substrate languages in Old Indo-Aryan. *Electronic journal of Vedic studies* 5.1 (September). <http://www.shore.net/~india/ejvs>.
- Zarubin, I. I. 1927. Vershikskoe narechie kandzhutskogo jazyka. *Kollegija vostokovedov. Zapiski* 2: 275–364.

Current Research in Burushaski: A Survey*

Étienne Tiffou,
Université de Montréal, Canada

I have already produced, in a recent yearbook dealing with languages of South Asia (1998), a bibliography, which I hope to be almost exhaustive, of books and articles on Burushaski which were published between the years 1989–1996. The number of distinct titles involved—34—is an indication of the interest shown for the subject by the scholarly community, especially if one compares this situation with the one prevailing in comparable areas, such as, for instance, Tibetan languages in the North of India. It is that level of interest and the fact that several substantial works have been published since 1996 that are at the origin of the present paper.

In this brief overview, I intend to take into consideration not only books and articles dealing with the language itself, but also, as I have already done in the *Yearbook of South Asian Languages and Linguistics* (Tiffou 1998), books and articles dealing with Burusho society and the very profound changes which it has experienced in the past twenty five years, inasmuch as it does not seem advisable to separate the study of the language from the study of the people who actually speak it. Once this is done, I will also try to indicate the paths which research on Burushaski ought to follow in the foreseeable future.

The Burushaski language is an isolate and, as for every other isolate, questions inevitably arise as to its origins. Although this question may not be answered satisfactorily in the current state of knowledge, it is a legitimate field of research, even if chances of success do not appear, at present, to be very good. D. I. Edel'man (1997), like most paleo-linguists, suggests that Burushaski is included in the Nadene-Caucasic branch. According to J. Bengtson, Burushaski would belong to the Macro-Caucasic family which is made up of Basque, languages spoken in Daghestan, North-West Caucasian languages, and Burushaski itself. Recently, I. Čašule (1998) tried to find some links between Indo-European, more specifically its Paleo-Balkanic-branch, and Burushaski. As the reconstruction of Indo-European has allowed linguists to postulate a language spoken earlier than 6000 BC, we would expect that Čašule's attempt would be based on strict phonological correspondances. This, indeed, is what Čašule has tried to do. However, the correspondances he established are not always self evident and some are open to question. That is the case, for instance, of the connection between lat. *pāscō* and bur. *du-wás-*, for the *-s-* of *pāscō* is one segment of the inchoative suffix

*I want to thank my friend Ph. Guay who revised the present text. Of course, I am responsible for all the infelicities which may subsist in this survey.